UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,697	08/18/2005	Lang Liu	39700.001	2458
	7590 10/04/2007		EXAMINER	
18757 BURBA	7 BURBANK BOULEVARD		PHAM, HOA Q	
SUITE 220 TARZANA. C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2886	
				251 11 15 14 14 15 15
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Aug 7	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/500,697	LIU, LANG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hoa Q. Pham	2886
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37, CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application: 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and the control of th	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction of the decomposition of the correction of the correcti	☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).: ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	of the other state of the state	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some c) None of 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No.
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list;		d.
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) : Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) : Notice of Informal Pa	te.
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20070930

Application/Control Number: 10/500,697

Art Unit: 2886

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- The drawings are objected to because the "texts" are not in English. Corrected 1. drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. Figures 1 and 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled

"Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. There is no "page number" in the specification.
- b. Page 2 (counted by Examiner) lines 21-25, the reference number "7" shows both "light source" and "image lens"
 - c. With respect to the claims, the "reference numerals" should be deleted.

These are some examples, applicant is required to correct all of minor informalities such as typos, grammars, inconsistent use of terms (and/or numerals). It is also suggested that the specification should be rewritten in the U.S. Practice, for example, "chart 1" should be changed to –figure 1--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 3-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims should refer to other claims in the alternative only and can't depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n) for acceptable Multiple Dependent Claim Wording. Accordingly, the claims 3-10 have not been further treated on the merits.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,697

Art Unit: 2886

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claims 1-20 are incorrect. Each claims must begins with a capital letter and end with a period (MPEP 608.01(m).
- b. It is suggested that the claims should be rewritten in the US practice, for example, the language such as 'comprising steps of:" should be used for method claims and "device comprising:" should be used for apparatus claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-2 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stern et al (4,758,093) in view of Harding (6,084,712).

Regarding claims 1 and 11-14, Stern discloses a sensing device for 3-D shape measurement, including a projection device (115-120) with projected grating or mark

Application/Control Number: 10/500,697

Art Unit: 2886

point (120) and an observation device (12-17), the projection device and observation device are placed on projecting positioner (11) and observing positioner (10). respectively, where these two devices can do relative movement to make the optical axes of projection device and observation device cross to measure projected object distance and image distance, and observed object distance and image distance (see column 5, line 5 through column 6, line 5 and figure 1a). Stern does not explicitly teaches an additional grating is located within the observation device; however, such a feature is known in the art as taught by Harding. Harding, from the same field of endeavor, teaches the use of two gratings (16,24) positioned in the projecting device and observation device (see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the grating system of Stern et al by grating system of Harding for the same purpose of determining full-field three dimensional data. A substitution one for another is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Device of Stern is arranged in a triangulation system; thus, it would have been obvious to arrange the optical paths and optical elements so that to form a right triangle system since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Regarding claim 2, see figure 2 of Stern for the use of a computer system (228,229,230).

Regarding claims 15-20; claims 15-20 are method claims and depend on apparatus claims; therefore, the steps in claims 15-20 are not given any patentable weight and rejected according to the apparatus claims.

Art Unit: 2886

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Following references relate to three-dimension measurement system: Liu (2006/0232788 A1), Chen et al (5,118,192); Nihei et al (5,570,187) and Kitoh et al (5,396,331).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2426. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00AM TO 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarifur Chowdhury can be reached on (571) 272-2287. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2886

HP

September 30, 2007